РВНОВО-000743-2023

Form No. 1

PPBHOB00007432023



CIVIL COURTS, GARHSHANKAR

IN THE COURT OF Sh. Jagmeet Singh Civil Judge (Junior Division) Garhshankar Next Date, Purpose of case, Orders and Judgments as well as other case information is available on http://ecourts.gov.in

SUMMONS FOR DISPOSAL OF SUIT/PETITION (O. 5, R. 1, 5.)

CS/472/2023 SATBIR SINGH Vs BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST/Hospital Previous Date 21-09-2023 NEXT DATE: 31-10-2023

To,

BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST/Hospital

C/O BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST, HOSPITAL, VPO DADYAL, SAILA KHURD TEHSIL GARHSHANKAR DISTT HOSHIARPUR THROUGH Manager Namely Balwinder Singh s/o Sukhchain Singh r/o VPO Dadyal, Saila khurd, Tehsil Garhshankar, Distt Hoshiarpur

Whereas SATBIR SINGH has instituted above said case against you for you are hereby summoned to appear in this Court in person or by a pleader duly instructed (and able to answer all material questions relating to the suit, or who shall be accompanied by some person, able to answer all such questions, on the 31-10-2023 at 10:00 o'clock in the forenoon, to answer the claim; and as the day fixed for your appearance is appointed for the final disposal of the said case, you must be prepared to produce on that day all the witnesses upon whose evidence and all the documents upon which you intend to rely in support of your defence.

Take notice that, in default of your appearance on the day before mentioned, the case will be heard and determined in your absence.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this 22-09-202

Civil Judge (Junior Division) Garhshankar

Notice -

1. Should you apprehend your witnesses will not attend of their own accord, you can have a summons from this Should you apprenent your witnesses will los from this Court to compel the attendance of any witness, and the production of any document that you have a right to call upon the witness to produce, on applying to the Court and on depositing the necessary expenses.

2. If you admit the claim, you should pay the money into Court together with the costs of the suit, to avoid execution

of the decree, which may be against your person or property, or both.

3.

Visit ecourts gov.in for updates or download mobile app "eCourts Services" from Android or Jos

To get Next Date and Purpose of your case through SMS, kindly register your mobile with the Reader/Ahlmad

GARHSHANKER, DIST-HOSHIARPUR

IN THE MATTER OF

SATBIR SINGH AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS
 S/O SH GUL JIT SINGH, HNO- B-11 MCH 751/2,
 KAMALPUR, JALANDHAR ROAD, HOSHIARPUR-PB

....PLAINTIFF

V/S

- BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST / HOSPITAL
 C/O BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST / HOSPITAL, VPO DADYAL, SAILA
 KHURD, TEHSIL GARHSHANKER, DIST- HOSHIARPUR-PB
 THROUGH
 ITS MANAGER NAMELY BALWINDER SINGH S/O SUKHCHAIN SINGH R/O VPO
 DADYAL, SAILA KHURD, TEHSIL GARHSHANKER, DIST-HOSHIARPUR-PB
- ONKAR SINGH S/O HARI SINGH
 C/O BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST / HOSPITAL, VPO DADYAL, SAILA KHURD, TEHSIL GARHSHANKER, DIST-HOSHIARPUR-PB
- RAMINDER SAGOO
 C/O BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST / HOSPITAL , VPO DADYAL , SAILA KHURD , TEHSIL GARHSHANKER , DIST- HOSHIARPUR-PB
- SURINDER KAUR W/O MALKIAT SINGH
 C/O BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST / HOSPITAL, VPO DADYAL, SAILA
 KHURD, TEHSIL GARHSHANKER, DIST-HOSHIARPUR-PB
- MALKIAT SINGH S/O HARI SINGH
 C/O BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST / HOSPITAL, VPO DADYAL, SAILA
 KHURD, TEHSIL GARHSHANKER, DIST-HOSHIARPUR-PB

....DEFENDANTS

(CIVIL SUIT FOR RECOVERY OF AMOUNT RS 63 LAKHS (SIXTY THREE LAKHS ONLY) FROM DEFENDANTS ALONG WITH INTEREST AT 18% PER ANNUM FROM DATE 11-07-2022 TILL THE ACTUAL REALIZATION OF AMOUNT ALONG WITH FURTHER AWARD AS OVERALL COST OF LITIGATION AMOUNTING RS 02 LAKHS (TWO LAKHS ONLY). SO CIVIL SUIT FOR RECOVERY OF SUCH AMOUNT IN FAVOUR OF PLAINTIFF AND AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS)

Page 1 of 7

RESPECTED SIR/MADAM,

THE PLAINTIFF HUMBLY SUBMITS AS UNDER:

- THAT I SATBIR SINGH S/O SH GULIIT SINGH R/O B-11 MCH 751/2 , KAMALPUR, JALANDHAR ROAD, HOSHIARPUR IS A PERMANENT RESIDENT OF THIS ADDRESS.
- 2. THAT I AM AN ADVOCATE. I AM A LAW ABIDING RESPONSIBLE CITIZEN . AS A MATTER OF SOCIAL CAUSE I AM AN RTI ACTIVIST AND HUMAN RIGHTS ACTIVIST TOO. THE PLAINTIFF IS A WHISTLE BLOWER AND HAD BEEN DOING LITIGATION IN PUBLIC INTEREST.
- 3. THAT DEFENDANT NUMBER 1. IS A TRUST RUNNING ONE HOSPITAL WITH ABOVE MENTIONED PARTICULARS.IT IS WORTHWHILE TO MENTION HERE THAT THE SAID TRUST IS OPERATING ILLEGALLY, HAVING REGISTRATION WITH FAKE AND FORGED DOCUMENTS, ITS REGISTRATION IS ALREADY CHALLENGED AND PROCEEDINGS ARE IN PROCESS. THIS INSTITUTION IS SERVED SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BY SEVERAL COMPETENT DEPARTMENTS AND SEVERALLY THEY HAVE PROVEN GUILTY. IN NUTSHELL RESPONDENT NO. 1 IS HAVING NO RESPECT FOR LAW. RESPONDENT NUMBER 1 IS BEING SUED THROUGH ITS MANAGER NAMELY BALWINDER SINGH ALIAS SONU WHO HAS SIGNED AT VARIOUS APPROPRIATE PLACES ON BEHALF OF INSTITUTION. BALWINDER SINGH IS FACING LITIGATION AT SEVERAL PLACES FOR HIS ILLEGAL BEHAVIOUR.
- 4. THAT DEFENDANT NUMBER 2,3 AND 5 ARE THE TRUSTEES OF ILLEGAL TRUST NAMELY BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST /HOSPITAL.
- 5. THAT DEFENDANT NUMBER 4 NAMELY SURINDER KAUR IS NOT A TRUSTEE BUT A FAMILY MEMBER OF TRUSTEES AND DEALS AND PARTICIPATES IN ALL MATTERS OF DEFENDANTS. HEREIN SHE PLAYED A KEY ROLE IN TAKING AWAY THE HARD EARNED MONEY OF PLAINTIFF.
- 6. THAT PLAINTIFF DID BUILDING WORK INSIDE THE PREMISES OF DEFENDANTS
 WHICH IS THE BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE HOSPITAL SITUATED AT
 VILLAGE DADYAL SAILA KHURD, TEHSIL GARHSHANKAR DIST HOSHIARPUR. TWO
 BUILDINGS WERE CONSTRUCTED ALONGWITH TWO ROADS PATH BY PLAINTIFF
 ON CALLING BY DEFENDANTS. WHEN THE DEFENDANTS HAD TO CHOSE FOR A
 PERSON TO DO CONSTRUCTION, THEY DID AN INDEPENDENT SURVEY TO FIND
 OUT THE PERSON WHO MAY DO THE CONSTRUCTION AT MINIMUM COST. THE
 DEFENDANTS AFTER DOING THE COMPLETE SURVEY REQUESTED THE PLAINTIFF
 TO TAKE UP THE WORK. THE DEFENDANTS REQUESTED THE PLAINTIFF AGAIN
 AND AGAIN BECAUSE THE PLAINTIFF WAS TO CONSTRUCT AT A COST MUCH
 BELOW THE MARKET RATE.
- 7. THAT PLAINTIFF SATBIR SINGH HAS CONSTRUCTED & DONE BUILDING WORK
 FOR DEFENDANTS NUMBER 01 TO 05 NAMELY BHAGAT HARI SINGH
 CHARITABLE TRUST / HOSPITAL THROUGH ITS MANAGER NAMELY BALWINDER
 SINGH , ONKAR SINGH , RAMINDER SAGOO , SURINDER KAUR , MALKIAT SINGH ,
 PLAINTIFF SATBIR SINGH HAS DONE CONSTRUCTION WORK BY MAKING TWO

BUILDINGS, TWO ROAD PATHS & SOME EXTRA MISC CONSTRUCTION WORK IN FAVOUR OF DEFENDANTS NUMBER 01 TO 05. THIS WORK IS DONE INSIDE THE PREMISES OF BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE HOSPITAL WITH ABOVE MENTIONED PARTICULARS. THE WHOLE COST INCLUDING MATERIAL, LABOUR & EVERY TYPE OF COST IS SPENT BY THE PLAINTIFF SATBIR SINGH. SOME GOODS WERE ALSO SUPPLIED TO THE SAID HOSPITAL. THE DEFENDANTS HAVE NOT DONE THE COMPLETE PAYMENT TO THE PLAINTIFF SATBIR SINGH. DEFENDANTS NEED TO PAY RUPEES SIXTY THREE LAKHS TO PLAINTIFF SATBIR SINGH.

DEFENDANTS HAVE REFUSED TO PAY & RUN AWAY FROM THEIR COMMITMENT TOWARDS PLAINTIFF, SO THE PRESENT SUIT IS BEING FILED TO RECOVER SUCH AMOUNT IN FAVOUR OF PLAINTIFF SATBIR SINGH FROM DEFENDANTS.

- 8. THAT DEFENDANTS WERE IN TOUCH WITH PLAINTIFF SINCE LONG BECAUSE THE BROTHER OF PLAINTIFF WAS SUPPLYING MEDICINES TO THE HOSPITAL SINCE LONG THROUGH HIS SEPARATE FIRM OF MEDICINES. SO THE DEFENDANTS KNEW VERY WELL THAT PLAINTIFF IS AN INNOCENT PERSON. ALTHOUGH PLAINTIFF IS IN TOUCH WITH DEFENDANTS SINCE LONG BUT HE DID NOT KNEW ABOUT THE INTENTIONS OF DEFENDANTS TO CHEAT AND FRAUD FROM BEGINNING.
- 9. THAT SEPARATE CIVIL SUIT IS PENDING BEFORE THE COURTS OF GARHSHANKAR TO ISSUE PERMANENT INJUNCTION AGAINST ACTS OF DEFENDANTS AMOUNTING TO PUBLIC NUISSANCE AS WELL AS PRIVATE NUISSANCE. HENCE THE COMPETENT DOCUMENTS EXPLAINING ILLEGAL FUNCTIONING OF DEFENDANTS ARE DESCRIBED AND SUBMITTED IN THAT SEPARATE CIVIL SUIT. FOR YOUR READY REFERANCE THE COPY OF SUCH CIVIL SUIT AGAINST DEFENDANTS IS ATTACHED HEREWITH AS ANNEXURE-1.
- 10. THAT PLAINTIFF HAS DONE CONSTRUCTION OF TWO BUILDINGS TWO ROADPATHS AND SOME MISCELLINOUS WORK IN PREMISES OF DEFENDANTS THAT IS OVERALL BUILDING OF BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE HOSPITAL. IT IS DONE AFTER CALLING BY DEFENDANTS AND AS PER THE CHOICE OF DEFENDANTS ONLY. THE DEFENDANTS WERE SO HARDCORE AT THE TIME OF CONSTRUCTION THAT THEY USED TO ORDER AND DICTATE PLAINTIFF LIKE AN OWNER AND USED TO OBTAIN PHOTOGRAPHS AND VIDEOS OF DAILY BASE WORKING. THE PLAINTIFF USED TO SUBMIT PHOTOGRAPHS AND VIDEOS OF CONSTRUCTION WORK TO DEFENDANTS REGULARLY. THE DEFENDANTS ALWAYS SHOWED THEIR SATISFACTION TO THE FULLEST FOR CONSTRUCTION WORK BEING DONE BY THE PLAINTIFF. HOWEVER AT THE END DEFENDANTS HAVE TAKEN AWAY HARD EARNED MONEY OF PLAINTIFF.
- 11. THAT INITIALLY SOME PAYMENTS WERE DONE BY THE DEFENDANTS BUT IT WAS MERELY AN EYE WASH BY THE DEFENDANTS TO WIN FAITH OF PLAINTIFF SO THAT CONSTRUCTION WORK CAN BE GOT DONE AT LOW COST BY UTILIZING THE INNOCENCE OF PLAINTIFF. HOWEVER WHEN THE DEFENDANTS REFUSED TO MAKE COMPLETE PAYMENT AND HUGE AMOUNT WAS TO BE PAID BY THE DEFENDANTS TO THE PLAINTIFF THEN ONE APPLICATION WAS MOVED BY THE PLAINTIFF TO THE SSP HOSHIARPUR ON DATE 11 JAN 2022 AT 7/11 A.M. THE APPLICATION BY PLAINTIFF TO THE SSP HOSHIARPUR WAS NUMBERED AS 177-

PD / 13-01-2022. THE COPY OF SAID APPLICATION IS ATTACHED HEREWITH FOR YOUR READY REFERENCE AS ANNEXURE-2.

12. THAT APPLICATION GIVEN BY THE PLAINTIFF AGAINST DEFENDANTS TO SSP HOSHIARPUR WAS MARKED TO ECONOMIC OFFENCE WING OF HOSHIARPUR POLICE. THE CONCERNED OFFICER INCHARGE THERE CALLED BOTH PARTIES AND STATEMENTS OF BOTH PARTIES WERE RECORDED. THE ACCUSED IN THE APPLICATION WERE DULY SERVED PARWANA AS PER SEC 160 CRPC IN THOSE PROCEEDINGS. AFTERWARDS ONE IKRAARNAMA WAS SIGNED AND AGREED BY BOTH PARTIES ON DATE 12 APR 2022. THIS IKRAARNAMA IS BEING SUBMITTED HERE FOR YOUR READY REFERENCE. IT WAS AGREED BY BOTH PARTIES THAT ONE COMMON GOVT APPROVED VALUER SHALL BE SELECTED BY BOTH PARTIES AND HE WILL GIVE REPORT FOR MEASSUREMENT OF CONSTRUCTION ONLY. IT WAS AGREED CLEARLY BY BOTH PARTIES THAT RATE OF CONSTRUCTION IS ALREADY FIXED AS RS 2058/- PER SQUARE FEET. SO AFTER CONSTRUCTION MEASUREMENT FINAL BILLING AMOUNT SHALL BE CALCULATED AS RS 2058/- MULTIPLIED BY SQUARE FEET OF CONSTRUCTION WORK.

THIS DOCUMENT BEARS SIGNATURE OF BOTH PARTIES AND IS ATTESTED BY INCHARGE OF ECONOMIC OFFENCE WING. IT WAS DONE INSIDE THE OFFICE OF ECONOMIC OFFENCE WING OF HOSHIARPUR POLICE. THE SAID DOCUMENT IS ATTACHED HEREWITH FOR YOUR READY REFERENCEAS ANNEXURE-3.

- 13. THAT AFTERWARDS THE COMMON MUTUALLY AGREED GOVT APPROVED SURVEYOR NAMELY SH. VIJAY BAGGA PREPARED HIS REPORT ON DATE 21 APR 2022. AGAIN THIS REPORT WAS SIGNED AND AGREED BY BOTH PARTIES INSIDE THE OFFICE OF ECONOMIC OFFENCE WING OF HOSHIARPUR POLICE. THIS TIME AGAIN ACCUSED HAD SIGNED THEMSELVES ON THE REPORT. POLICE HAD RECORDED THE STATEMENT OF SH VIJAY BAGGA ARCHITECT AND VALUER ALSO WHEN HE SUBMITTED THE REPORT. SUCH DOCUMENT IS ATTACHED HEREWITH FOR YOUR READY REFERENCE AS ANNEXURE-4.
- 14. THAT AFTER INDEPTH ENQUIRY AND INVESTIGATION , REPORTS OF SURVEYORS AND AGREEMENTS , THE ECONOMIC OFFENCE WING MADE THE REPORT IN FAVOUR OF PLAINTIFF SATBIR SINGH AND AGAINST THE DEFENDENTS. IT WAS CLEARLY SAID IN THE REPORT THAT DEFENDANTS HAVE DONE CHEATING ,FRAUD AND CRIMINAL BREACH OF TRUST AGINST THE PLAINTIFF. THE REPORT ENDORSED THE FACT THAT PLAINTIFF SATBIR SINGH HAS TO TAKE MONEY FROM DEFENDANTS AND DEFENDANTS NEED TO PAY MORE THAN RS 63 LAKHS [SIXTY THREE LAKHS] TO PLAINTIFF SATBIR SINGH. HOWEVER THIS REPORT WAS SENT TO DA LEGAL TO KNOW THE RELEVANT SECTIONS OF IPC TO IMPOSE AGAINST DEFENDANTS. THE D.A LEGAL GAVE WRONG OPINION THAT ALTHOUGH DEFENDANTS HAVE DONE FRAUD AGAINST PLAINTIFF ,THE PRESENT DISPUTE IS HAVING A CIVIL NATURE ONLY. HENCE THE COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFF GOT FILED. THE COMPLETE SET OF SUCH DOCUMENTS IS SUBMITTED HERE FOR YOUR READY REFERENCE AS ANNEXURE-5.
- 15. THAT AFTERWARDS THE PLAINTIFF SUBMITTED ONE PETITION U/S 156[3] CRPC BEFORE THE HONBLE COURT OF CJM HOSHIARPUR ON DATE 6 MARCH 2023

WITH A PRAYER TO GET FIR REGISTERED AGAINST THE ACCUSED. THE STATUS REPORT OF THE COMPLAINT BY PLAINTIFF WAS CALLED BY HONBLE CIM COURT. THE POLICE HAD SUBMITTED STATUS REPORT IN FAVOUR OF PLAINTIFF AND AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS. THE COPY OF THIS PETITION IS ANNEXURE-6. THE COPY OF STATUS REPORT IS ANNEXURE-7.

- 16. THAT HONBLE CIM COURT GAVE DECISION ON DATE 18 MARCH 2023 IN FAVOUR OF PLAINTIFF AND AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS. THE FIR WAS ORDERED TO BE REGISTERED AGAINST ACCUSED. THE COPY OF JUDGEMENT OF CJM COURT IS ANNEXURE-8.
- 17. THAT AFTER ORDERS OF CIM COURT, THE FIR NUMBER 94 U/S 406,420 GOT REGISTERED AGAINST ACCUSED ON DATE 21 MARCH 2023 AT PS CITY HOSHIARPUR. THE COPY OF FIR IS ANNEXURE-9.
- 18. THAT CRIMINAL LAW IS ALREADY IN ACTION AGAINST ACCUSED AND THE SUCH FIR IS UNDER INVESTIGATION AT PS CITY HOSHIARPUR.
- 19. THAT PLAINTIFF HAS FILED ONE PETITION U/S 482 CRPC BEFORE HONBLE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH TO ADD MORE SECTIONS IN FIR. THE NOTICE OF MOTION IS GIVEN AGAINST RESPONDENTS AND HONBLE HIGH COURT HAS CALLED STATUS REPORT OF SUCH FIR. THE COPY OF ORDERS OF HONBLE HIGH COURT OF PB AND HR AT CHD IS ATTACHED HEREWITH FOR YOUR READY REFERENCE AS ANNEXURE-10.
- 20. THAT ALONGWITH BUILDING WORK, SOME GOODS WERE ALSO SUPPLIED TO DEFENDENTS AND THE RECOVERY SUIT INCLUDES SOME COST OF THOSE GOODS ALSO.
- 21. THAT DEFENDANTS HAD FILED ONE FALSE COMPLAINT BEFORE NRI WING PB POLICE AND THE CONCLUSION REPORT HAS AGAIN COME IN FAVOUR OF PLAINTIFF. IT IS SUBMITTED HEREWITH AS ANNEXURE-11.

22. THAT IN NUTSHELL

PLAINTIFF SATBIR SINGH HAS DONE BUILDING WORK FOR DEFENDANTS.

DEFENDANTS HAVE NOT MADE THE COMPLETE PAYMENT. SOME GOODS WERE ALSO SUPPLIED TO HOSPITAL. SO THE PRESENT CIVIL SUIT FOR RECOVERY IS FILED AGAINST DEFENDANTS AND IN FAVOUR OF PLAINTIFF TO RECOVER RS 63 LAKHS .THE SUIT SUPPORTS THE PLAINTIFF BY FOLLOWING REPORTS/ORDERS/PROCEEDINGS

- A. SIGNING OF AGREEMENTS BY MANAGER OF HOSPITAL.
- B. SIGNING OF IKRAARNAMA BY BOTH PARTIES
- C. SIGNING OF MUTUALLY AGREED SURVEYOR REPORT BY BOTH PARTIES

- D. REPORT OF ECONOMIC OFFENCE WING IN FAVOUR OF PLAINTIFF SATBIR
- E. ORDERS OF CIM COURT IN FAVOUR OF PLAINTIFF.
- F. REGISTRATION OF FIR AGAINST ACCUSED AND IN FAVOUR OF PLAINTIFF.
- G. ORDERS OF HIGH COURT FOR NOTICE OF MOTION AND CALLING OF STATUS REPORT IN FAVOUR OF PLAINTIFF.
- H. ANOTHER CIVIL SUIT AGAINST DEFENDANTS.
- I. ACTION BY SEVERAL COMPETENT AUTHORITIES AGAINST DEFENDENTS FOR
- 23. THAT WHILE CALCULATING THE COST TO BE RECOVERED THE PLAINTIFF HAS TAKEN THE COST OF ROAD PATH AT LOWER RATES VOLUNTARILY AND RIGHT TO CLAIM FULL VALUE AT RS 2058/- PER SQ FEET IS RESERVED. THIS FACT WAS RECORDED BY PLAINTIFF IN HIS STATEMENT BEFORE POLICE AUTHORITIES TOO.
- 24. THAT PLAINTIFF HAS DONE BUILDING CONSTRUCTION WORK FOR DEFENDANTS AND COMPLETE PAYMENT IS NOT DONE BY DEFENDANTS. TO PROVE THE SAME COMPETENT DOCUMENTS ADMISSIBLE IN COURT AS PER INDIAN EVIDENCE ACT ARE SUBMITTED. ALTHOUGH DEFENDANTS ARE INVOLVED IN NUMEROUS ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES AND FACING SEVERAL PROCEEDINGS, HOWEVER PLAINTIFF IS AVOIDING THOSE INCIDENCES TO SAVE THE PRECIOUS TIME OF COURT AND STICK TO THE RELEVANT POINT.
- 25. THAT CAUSE OF ACTION AROSE ON DATE 11 JAN 2022 WHEN DEFENDANTS CLEARLY REFUSED TO PAY AND APPLICATION BEFORE SSP HOSHIARPUR WAS GIVEN BY PLAINTIFF.
- 26. THAT PLAINTIFF HAS NO OTHER EFFICACIOUS REMEDY EXCEPT TO APPROACH THE PRESENT COURT.
- 27. THAT THE PRESENT COURT HAS GOT JURISDICTION TO HEAR AND DECIDE THE PRESENT CIVIL SUIT.
- 28. THAT PLAINTIFF HAS NOT FILED SAME SUIT EARLIER OR ANYWHERE ELSE.
- 29. THAT THE REQUISITE COURT FEE IS AFFIXED HERE WITH.
- 30. THAT PLAINTIFF SATBIR SINGH HAS LOST HIS HARD EARNED MONEY AND DEFENDANTS HAVE TAKEN AWAY THE HARD EARNED MONEY OF PLAINTIFF. DEFENDANTS NEED TO PAY SATBIR SINGH MORE THAN RS 63 LAKHS.

PRAYER. IN VIEW OF THE FACT THAT IT IS UNDISPUTED FACT THAT PLAINTIFF SATBIR SINGH HAS DONE CONSTRUCTION WORK FOR DEFENDANTS IN SHAPE OF TWO BUILDINGS, TWO ROADPATHS, SOME EXTRA CONSTRUCTION WORK ALONGWITH SUPPLY OF SOME GOODS TO BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE HOSPITAL / TRUST AND OTHER DEFENDANTS. COMPETENT DOCUMENTS SIGNED BY BOTH PARTIES, REPORT OF ECONOMIC OFFENCE WING, CIM ORDERS, COPY OF FIR REGISTERED AGAINST ACCUSED AND ALL OTHER DOCUMENTS AS EXPLAINED ABOVE ARE SUBMITTED BEFORE HONBLE

COURT. SATBIR SINGH PLAINTIFF HAS TO TAKE RS 63 LAKHS FROM DEFENDANTS FOR WHICH THE DEFENDANTS ARE LIABLE TO PAY & DEFENDANTS HAVE REFUSE TO PAY. HENCE A DECREE FOR RECOVERY

Bars - Ant

HENCE A DECREE FOR RECOVERY OF RS SIXTY THREE LAKHS ALONG WITH COST OF LITIGATION BE PASSED IN FAVOUR OF PLAINTIFF SATBIR SINGH AND AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS WITH INTEREST @ 18% PER ANNUM TILL THE REALIZATION OF RECOVERY AMOUNT.

DATE: 21-09-2023 GARHSHANKER

SUBMITTED BY SATBIR SINGH (PLAINTIFF)

VERIFICATION: VERIFIED THAT THE CONTENTS OF ALL THE SENTENCES OF THE PLAINT FROM POINT NUMBER 01 TO 30 ARE TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF AND NOTHING HAS BEEN KEPT CONCEALED THEREIN.

DATE: 21-09-2023 GARHSHANKER

SUBMITTED BY SATBIR SINGH (PLAINTIFF)

THROUGH COUNSEL
DEEPINDER SINGH
(ADVOCATE), 95305-60160

AADHAAR: 3822 5683 5437