**Before the Hon’ble Chairman, Bar Council of Punjab & Haryana, Chandigarh.**

Shamsher Singh Bhardwaj Advocate, Enrollment No. P/1512/2008, Member of District Bar Association, Hoshiarpur, Chamber No. 313, Lawyer’s Chambers, New Courts, Hoshiarpur.

……Complainant

Versus

1. Deepinder Singh bearing Enrollment No. P/2227/2016
2. Satbir Singh bearing Enrollment No. PH/4135/2022 both sons of Sh. Guljit Singh R/o B-11 MCH, House No. 751/2, Mohalla Kamalpur, Opposite Hira Lab, District Hoshiarpur.

……Respondents

**Complaint under Section 35 of “The Advocates Act 1961” against advocates Deepinder Singh bearing Enrollment No. P/2227/2016 and Satbir Singh bearing Enrollment No. PH/4135/2022 both sons of Sh. Guljit Singh R/o B-11 MCH, House No. 751/2, Mohalla Kamalpur, Opposite Hira Lab, District Hoshiarpur, both members of DBA Hoshiarpur as well as Proprietors/Partners of Nayyar Traders and M/s Nayyar Medicine trades, Street No.1, Mohalla New Tagore Nagar, Hoshiarpur**.

Respected sir,

It is respectfully submitted as under:-

1. That present complaint is filed by Shamsher Singh Bhardwaj Advocate, Enrollment No. P/1512/2008, R/o House No. 10, Govind Nagar, Arora Colony, Opp. Shani Mandir, Kakkon, Hoshiarpur and has been practicing member of District Bar Association, Hoshiarpur since the year 2009.
2. That Deepinder Singh and Satbir Singh are enrolled with Punjab & Haryana Bar Council, Chandigarh as stated above. Now both are member of Bar Association, Hoshiarpur for around one year.
3. That both the advocates are pretending to practice in **District & Sessions Courts at Hoshiarpur** and at the same time they both running the business of medicines under the name and style “**M/S Nayyar Medicine Traders”** bearing GST No.03BXWPS3163C2ZA and also running the trade “**M/S Nayyar Traders”** by representing themselves as building contractor which violates the Rules of **“Bar Council Of India Rules”** according to Rule 47 that an advocate shall not personally engage in any business and the nature of business is not inconsistent with the dignity of the profession. The Advocates cannot run any business personally and earn profit. Rule 47 strictly prohibited that and impose restrictions on other employments. **Copy of Ledger of both trades attached as ANNEXD A-1, A-2 & A-3.**
4. That now when present complainant appeared as an advocate/counsel for Bhagat Hari Singh Charitable Trust/Hospital (Regd.) against them in complaint U/S 156(3) Cr.P.C bearing No. **CRM/190/2023** titled as “**Onkar Singh Versus Deepinder Singh & Ors.”** and in a civil suit for recovery bearing No. **CS/279/2023** titled as “**Bhagat Hari Singh Charitable Trust/Hospital Versus Deepinder Singh & Ors.”**, and then both Satbir Singh and Deepinder Singh started filing false cases as well as complaints in the various courts at Hoshiarpur, against complainant with the only motive to harass the complainant without any rhyme and reason. **Copies of the cases attached ANNEXED A-4 to A-5 and A-6, A-7.**
5. That it is very much important to mention here that earlier in the year 2019 the a complaint against of Deepinder Singh Advocate titled as “**Nipun Sharma Vs Deepinder Singh Advocate”** filed and Disciplinary Committee of Bar Council Of Punjab & Haryana, Chandigarh suspended the license of Deepinder Singh vide order dated 4-06-2021 along with cost of Rs. 50,000/- and then Deepinder Singh filed appeal against said order and after the instructions of the Bar Council Of India then Deepinder Singh compromised the matter. **Copy attached as ANNEXED A-8.**
6. That both of the Advocates are accused of committing offence of cheating, fraud and grabbing the money of innocent people. It is worth mentioning here that the both the advocates are in habit of filing false cases all over Punjab against the complainants and their advocates to harass them and to compel them to withdraw complaints against them. Both advocates after grabbing money from aggrieved give life threats and also file /register false and frivolous complaints and cases as they have done so against me and my clients. Earlier both of them made false and vague complaints against the senior advocates and junior advocates of the **District Bar Association, Hoshiarpur,** who appeared against them in the different case and this fact is clearly evident from the 33 certified copies of the all cases and complaints obtained from the various courts of the Hoshiarpur. **Copies attached as annexed A- 9 to A- 42.**
7. That the duty of advocates are prescribed in **“Bar Council Of India Rules”** and “**The Advocates Act 1961”** and one of the foremost duty of the advocates are to conduct functions and plead or act with dignifies manner, to respect & maintain dignity of the court.
8. That the legal profession is based on honest and integrity of an advocates as per **“Bar Council Of India Rules”** and “**The Advocates Act 1961”** but both of them violate the provision and rules of this act and both the advocates misuse the license/Identity Card issued by **BAR COUNCIL OF PUNAJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH.** It is pertinent to point out here that now, both the advocates are involved in various corrupt practices, the details of which are given hereinafter.
9. That the Bar council has laid down certain rules and regulations pertaining to the duties of advocates and it includes the duties of advocates towards the court, opponents, clients, colleagues. On the other hand, both the advocates not obey/comply with the rules and regulations of Bar Council of Punjab & Haryana, Chandigarh and “**The Advocates Act 1961**.” Both of them guilty of “professional misconduct” and other misconduct and disciplinary powers of the “Bar Council Of India” as per the provision of **Section 35 of The Advocates Act 1961.**

It is, therefore prayed that take strict legal action against both the advocates and license/identity card of the **Sh. Deepinder Singh bearing Enrollment No. P/2227/2016 and Sh. Satbir Singh bearing Enrollment No. PH/4135/2022** may kindly be cancelled permanently with heavy cost and both of them are punished as per the rules of **“Bar Council of India Rules”** under section 35 “**The Advocates Act 1961”** in the interest of justice, equity.

Submitted By:

………..Complainant

(In person)

Dated: 04-08-2023

**Before the Hon’ble Chairman, Bar Council of Punjab & Haryana, Chandigarh.**

Shamsher Singh Bhardwaj Versus Deepinder Singh & Ors.

(**Complaint under Section 35 of “The Advocates Act 1961”)**

**Affidavit**

I**,** Shamsher Singh Bhardwaj Advocate aged about 43 years S/o Gurdish Singh bearing Enrollment No. P/1512/2008, R/o House No. 10, Govind Nagar, Arora Colony, Opp. Shani Mandir, Kakkon, Hoshiarpur, Punjab, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare as under:-

1. That Deepinder Singh and Satbir Singh are enrolled with Punjab & Haryana Bar Council, Chandigarh as stated above. Now both are member of Bar Association, Hoshiarpur for around one year.
2. That both the advocates are pretending to practice in **District & Sessions Courts at Hoshiarpur** and at the same time they both running the business of medicines under the name and style “**M/S Nayyar Medicine Traders”** bearing GST No.03BXWPS3163C2ZA and also running the trade “**M/S Nayyar Traders”** by representing themselves as building contractor which violates the Rules of **“Bar Council Of India Rules”** according to Rule 47 that an advocate shall not personally engage in any business and the nature of business is not inconsistent with the dignity of the profession. The Advocates cannot run any business personally and earn profit. Rule 47 strictly prohibited that and impose restrictions on other employments.
3. That now when present deponent appeared as an advocate/counsel for Bhagat Hari Singh Charitable Trust/Hospital (Regd.) against them in complaint U/S 156(3) Cr.P.C bearing No. **CRM/190/2023** titled as “**Onkar Singh Versus Deepinder Singh & Ors.”** and in a civil suit for recovery bearing No. **CS/279/2023** titled as “**Bhagat Hari Singh Charitable Trust/Hospital Versus Deepinder Singh & Ors.”**, and then both Satbir Singh and Deepinder Singh started filing false cases as well as complaints in the various courts at Hoshiarpur, against deponent with the only motive to harass the deponent without any rhyme and reason. **Copies of the cases attached ANNEXED A-4 to A-5 and A-6, A-7.**
4. That it is very much important to mention here that earlier in the year 2019 the a complaint against of Deepinder Singh Advocate titled as “**Nipun Sharma Vs Deepinder Singh Advocate”** filed and Disciplinary Committee of Bar Council Of Punjab & Haryana, Chandigarh suspended the license of Deepinder Singh vide order dated 4-06-2021 along with cost of Rs. 50,000/- and then Deepinder Singh filed appeal against said order and after the instructions of the Bar Council Of India then Deepinder Singh compromised the matter.
5. That both of the Advocates are accused of committing offence of cheating, fraud and grabbing the money of innocent people. It is worth mentioning here that the both the advocates are in habit of filing false cases all over Punjab against the deponent and their advocates to harass them and to compel them to withdraw complaints against them. Both advocates after grabbing money from aggrieved give life threats and also file /register false and frivolous complaints and cases as they have done so against me and my clients. Earlier both of them made false and vague complaints against the senior advocates and junior advocates of the **District Bar Association, Hoshiarpur,** who appeared against them in the different case and this fact is clearly evident from the 33 certified copies of the all cases and complaints obtained from the various courts of the Hoshiarpur.
6. That the duty of advocates are prescribed in **“Bar Council Of India Rules”** and “**The Advocates Act 1961”** and one of the foremost duty of the advocates are to conduct functions and plead or act with dignifies manner, to respect & maintain dignity of the court.
7. That the legal profession is based on honest and integrity of an advocates as per **“Bar Council Of India Rules”** and “**The Advocates Act 1961”** but both of them violate the provision and rules of this act and both the advocates misuse the license/Identity Card issued by **BAR COUNCIL OF PUNAJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH.** It is pertinent to point out here that now, both the advocates are involved in various corrupt practices, the details of which are given hereinafter.
8. That the Bar council has laid down certain rules and regulations pertaining to the duties of advocates and it includes the duties of advocates towards the court, opponents, clients, colleagues. On the other hand, both the advocates not obey/comply with the rules and regulations of Bar Council of Punjab & Haryana, Chandigarh and “**The Advocates Act 1961**.” Both of them guilty of “professional misconduct” and other misconduct and disciplinary powers of the “Bar Council Of India” as per the provision of **Section 35 of The Advocates Act 1961.**

**Deponent**

**Verification:-**

Verified on solemn affirmation that the contents of the said affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed therein.

Place:- Hoshiarpur

Date :- 04-08-2023

**Deponent**

**Before the Hon’ble Chairman, Bar Council of Punjab & Haryana, Chandigarh.**

Shamsher Singh Bhardwaj Versus Deepinder Singh & Ors.

(**Complaint U/s 35 of “The Advocates Act 1961”)**

**Index**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Sr. No.** | **Particulars** | **Annexure** |
|  | Copy of complaint |  |
|  | Copies of ledgers of “Nayyar Medicines & Nayyar Traders” | **A-1 to A-3** |
|  | Copies of cases filed against Respondents by present Complainant. | **A-4 & A-5** |
|  | Copies of cases filed against present Complainant by Respondents. | **A-6 & A-7** |
|  | Copy of order of the Disciplinary Committee of Bar Council. | **A-8** |
|  | Certified copies of cases/complaints along with orders. | **A-9 & A-42** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Submitted by

Place: Hoshiarpur

Date: 04-08-23

**…….Complainant**

**S.S. Bhardwaj P/1512/2008**

**Distt. Courts,**

**Hoshiarpur**

**Mob.No. 99887-26336.**