(34)

Sh. Kanwar Singh may 4 copy

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MEENAKSHI MAHAJAN , JMIC , HOSHIARPUR

IN THE MATTER OF

CRM/237/23
DOD/10/10/24

SATBIR SINGH AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS
S/O SH GULJIT SINGH, HNO- B-11 MCH 751/2 ,
KAMALPUR , JALANDHAR ROAD , HOSHIARPUR-PB

.....COMPLAINANT

V/S

CRM/237/23

Case Registration No. : CRM/2186/2023
Case Filing Date : 30.08.2023

1. SHAMSHER SINGH BHARDWAJ
ADVOCATE,
DIST COURTS HOSHIARPUR, MOBILE: 99887-26336

2. ONKAR SINGH S/O HARI SINGH
C/O BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST / HOSPITAL , VPO DADYAL , TEHSIL GARHSHANKER , DIST- HOSHIARPUR-PB

3. RAMINDER SAGOO
C/O BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST / HOSPITAL , VPO DADYAL , TEHSIL GARHSHANKER , DIST- HOSHIARPUR-PB

4. DEEPAK CHAUDHARY S/O PREM DASS
R/O HNO 174 , WARD NO-05 , STREET NO-1 ,
SHALIMAR NAGAR , HOSHIARPUR.

.....ACCUSED

(COMPLAINT U/S 340 CRPC TO BE READ WITH 156 (3) CRPC TO ISSUE DIRECTIONS TO REGISTER FIR AGAINST THE ACCUSED NUMBER 01 TO 04 OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE STRICT LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE ACCUSED AS THE COURT MAY DEEM FIT)

RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

Examiner
05 DEC 2024

Satbir Singh V
CWL Satbir
Kamalpur

CNR No: PBHO030021872023 CIS No: CRM/237/2023

Satbir Singh VS Shamsher Singh Bhardwaj etc.

Present: Sh. Deepinder Singh Advocate for the complainant.

Satbir Singh complainant has suffered a statement that he withdraw the present petition with CIS No: CRM/237/2023 with liberty to file fresh petition if so desired. It is also stated that trustees namely Onkar Singh, Raminder Saggoo, Malkeet Singh and Surinder Kaur are already declared proclaimed persons. In view of the statement suffered by complainant, the present application is ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn. File be consigned to the record room Hoshiarpur as per rules.

Date of Order: 10-10-2024
Anmol-stenographer

(Karanvir Singh Maju)
Judicial Magistrate - Ist Class
UID No. PB00423

No. of Application 10352
Date of Application 25/11/24
Name of Applicant Maninder Sachdeva
Date of Preparation 05/12/24
Copying Fee 05
Urgent Fee
No. of Pages 02
Name of Copyist Narender
Compared by
Date of Delivery 5/12/24
Examiner

Certified to be True Copy
Examiner
Authorised by Section 76 of
The
05 DEC 2024

COURT FEE TEN RUPEES

Sh. Kanwaljit Singh Maj & CJJD

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MEENAKSHI MAHAJAN, JMIC, HOSHIARPUR

IN THE MATTER OF

CRM/237/23
1000/10/11/24

SATBIR SINGH AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS
S/O SH GULJIT SINGH, HNO- B-11 MCH 751/2,
KAMALPUR, JALANDHAR ROAD, HOSHIARPUR-PB

.....COMPLAINANT

V/S

(CRM/237/)
Case Registration No. CRM/2186/2023
Case File No. 3002187/2023

1. SHAMSHER SINGH BHARDWAJ
ADVOCATE,
DIST COURTS HOSHIARPUR, MOBILE: 99887-26336

2. ONKAR SINGH S/O HARI SINGH
C/O BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST / HOSPITAL, VPO DADYAL, TEHSIL GARHSHANKER, DIST- HOSHIARPUR-PB

3. RAMINDER SAGOO
C/O BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST / HOSPITAL, VPO DADYAL, TEHSIL GARHSHANKER, DIST- HOSHIARPUR-PB

4. DEEPAK CHAUDHARY S/O PREM DASS
R/O HNO 174, WARD NO-05, STREET NO-1,
SHALIMAR NAGAR, HOSHIARPUR.

.....ACCUSED

(COMPLAINT U/S 340 CRPC TO BE READ WITH 156 (3) CRPC TO ISSUE DIRECTIONS TO REGISTER FIR AGAINST THE ACCUSED NUMBER 01 TO 04 O ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE STRICT LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE ACCUSED AS THE COURT MAY DEEM FIT)

RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

ATTESTED
Examiner
05 DEC 2024

Satbir Singh VS Shamsher Singh Bhardwaj etc.

(37)

Statement of Satbir Singh aged about 43 years son of Guljit singh r/o Kamalpur, Jalandhar Road, Hoshiarpur. On SA

Stated that I withdraw the present petition with CIS No: CRM/237/2023 with liberty to file fresh petition if so desired. It is also stated that trustees namely Onkar Singh, Raminder Saggoo, Malkeet Singh and Surinder Kaur are already declared proclaimed persons.

RO&AC

Satbir Singh

Karanvir Singh Maju
JMIC/HSP/Dt.
10.10.2024

Certified to be True Copy

Examiner
Authorised by Section 76 of
The Indian Evidence Act 1872

05 DEC 2024

No. of Application 10352
Date of Application 25/11/24
Name of Application Jaminder Sachdeva
Date of Preparation 5/12/24
Copying Fee 05
Urgent Fee —
No. of Page 02
No. of Copy(s) 1
Compared by —
Date of Delivery 5/12/24

Examiner

39

023

Sh. Raghvir Singh Adv.

CRM/237/23
DOD/10/10/24

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MEENAKSHI MAHAJAN, JMIC, HOSHIARPUR

Judicial Copying Agency Hoshiarpur

IN THE MATTER OF

Advh
9/3/23

SATBIR SINGH AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS
S/O SH GULJIT SINGH, HNO- B-11 MCH 751/2,
KAMALPUR, JALANDHAR ROAD, HOSHIARPUR-PB

.....COMPLAINANT

V/S

CRM/237/2023

Case Registration No. .....
Case No. : CRM/2186/2023
Cino No. 3020218...

1. SHAMSHER SINGH BHARDWAJ
ADVOCATE,
DIST COURTS HOSHIARPUR, MOBILE: 99887-26336

2. ONKAR SINGH S/O HARI SINGH
C/O BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST / HOSPITAL, VPO DADYAL, TEHSIL GARHSHANKER, DIST- HOSHIARPUR-PB

3. RAMINDER SAGOO
C/O BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST / HOSPITAL, VPO DADYAL, TEHSIL GARHSHANKER, DIST- HOSHIARPUR-PB

4. DEEPAK CHAUDHARY S/O PREM DASS
R/O HNO 174, WARD NO-05, STREET NO-1,
SHALIMAR NAGAR, HOSHIARPUR.

.....ACCUSED

(COMPLAINT U/S 340 CRPC TO BE READ WITH 156 (3) CRPC TO ISSUE DIRECTIONS TO REGISTER FIR AGAINST THE ACCUSED NUMBER 01 TO 04 OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE STRICT LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE ACCUSED AS THE COURT MAY DEEM FIT)

RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

ATTESTED
Examined.
05 DEC 2024

Satbir Singh V
C/o Satbir
Kamalpur
O

CNR No: PBHO030021872023 CIS No: CRM/237/2023

Satbir Singh VS Shamsher Singh Bhardwaj etc.

Present: Sh. Deepinder Singh Advocate for the complainant.

Satbir Singh complainant has suffered a statement that he withdraw the present petition with CIS No: CRM/237/2023 with liberty to file fresh petition if so desired. It is also stated that trustees namely Onkar Singh, Raminder Saggoo, Malkeet Singh and Surinder Kaur are already declared proclaimed persons. In view of the statement suffered by complainant, the present application is ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn. File be consigned to the record room Hoshiarpur as per rules.

Date of Order: 10-10-2024
Anmol-stenographer

SJ
(Karanvir Singh Maju)
Judicial Magistrate - Ist Class
UID No. PB00423

Certified to be True Copy
Examiner
Authorised by Section 76 of
The Indian Evidence Act 1872
05 DEC 2024

No. of Application 10352
Date of Application 25/11/24
Name of Applicant Maninder Sachdeva
Date of Preparation 05/12/24
Copying Fee 05
Urgent Fee 00
No. of Page 02
No. of Copies 1 Name
Compared by
Date of Delivery 5/12/24

39 (1)

Sh. Karamvir Singh Maju, CJJD

BEFORE THE HON'BLE COURT OF MEENAKSHI MAHAJAN , JMIC , HOSHIARPUR

CRM/237/23

DOD/10/10/24

9/3/23

IN THE MATTER OF

1. SATBIR SINGH AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS
   S/O SH GULJIT SINGH, HNO- B-11 MCH 751/2 ,
   KAMALPUR , JALANDHAR ROAD , HOSHIARPUR-PB

.....COMPLAINANT

V/S

CRM/237/2023

Case Registration No.........
Case File : CRM/2186/2023
CNR : 3002182023

1. SHAMSHER SINGH BHARDWAJ
   ADVOCATE,
   DIST COURTS HOSHIARPUR, MOBILE: 99887-26336

2. ONKAR SINGH S/O HARI SINGH
   C/O BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST / HOSPITAL , VPO DADYAL , TEHSIL GARHSHANKER , DIST- HOSHIARPUR-PB

3. RAMINDER SAGOO
   C/O BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST / HOSPITAL , VPO DADYAL , TEHSIL GARHSHANKER , DIST- HOSHIARPUR-PB

4. DEEPAK CHAUDHARY S/O PREM DASS
   R/O HNO 174 , WARD NO-05 , STREET NO-1 ,
   SHALIMAR NAGAR , HOSHIARPUR.

.....ACCUSED

(COMPLAINT U/S 340 CRPC TO BE READ WITH 156 (3) CRPC TO ISSUE DIRECTIONS TO REGISTER FIR AGAINST THE ACCUSED NUMBER 01 TO 04 OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE STRICT LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE ACCUSED AS THE COURT MAY DEEM FIT)

RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

ATTESTED
Examiner
05 DEC 2024

(11) (2)

1. THAT THE COMPLAINANT IS A PERMANENT RESIDENT OF THE ABOVE MENTIONED ADDRESS AND A RESPONSIBLE CITIZEN & AN ADVOCATE.

2. THAT I HAVE COME TO KNOW THROUGH THE INFORMATION AVAILABLE AT OFFICIAL WEBSITE OF ECOURTS IN PUBLIC DOMAIN ALONG WITH ACCUSED HAVE THEMSELVES TOLD ME THAT WHAT THEY HAVE WRITTEN IN THE COURT AGAINST ME & RECORD AVAILABLE WITH ME THROUGH THE PROCESS OF RTI WITH NRI WING OF THE PUNJAB POLICE.

3. THAT ACCUSED NUMBER 01 TO 04 HAVE SUBMITTED ONE APPLICATION U/S 156 (3) CRPC BEFORE THIS HON'BLE COURT (HON'BLE COURT OF MEENAKSHI MAHAJAN , JMIC) AGAINST SATBIR SINGH AND OTHERS TO ISSUE DIRECTIONS TO TAKE LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE COMPLAINANT ON THE BASIS OF ONE COMPLAINT GIVEN BY ONKAR SINGH , RAMINDER SAGOO AND OTHERS AT BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE HOSPITAL VPO DADYAL , SAILA KHURD HOSHIARPUR WHICH WAS GIVEN BY THEM TO THE NRI WING OF THE PUNJAB POLICE HOSHIARPUR. THE NEXT DATE FIXED FOR THE SAME IS 05-04-2023. THE CNR NUMBER FOR THE FALSE APPLICATION OF THE ACCUSED IS PBHO030019682023 & CIS NUMBER IS CRM/190/2023. THE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDERS IS ATTACHED HERWITH FOR YOUR READY REFERENCE.

   SO THE PRESENT APPLICATION IS BEING FILED AGAINST THE FALSE APPLICATION OF THE ACCUSED.

4. THAT THE SAID APPLICATION BY THE ACCUSED IS SURPRISINGLY SUBMITTED BY ONE PERSON NAMELY DEEPAK CHAUDHARY WHO IS A CLERK OF ADVOCATE SANDEEP KUMAR OATH COMMISSIONER AT DIST COURTS HOSHIARPUR.

5. THAT FOLLOWING ARE THE POINTS TO BE NOTED WHERE THE ACCUSED NUMBER 01 TO 04 HAVE MISGUIDED AND MISREPRESENTED BEFORE THE COURT INTENTIONALLY , REPEATEDLY AND SEVERALLY :-

   A. THAT THE ACCUSED ARE WRITING THEMSELVES IN THEIR APPLICATION THAT ONKAR SINGH IS A RESIDENT OF VPO DADYAL SAILA KHURD HOSHIARPUR AND ALONG WITH IT THEY ARE GIVING PASSPORT OF ONKAR SINGH WHICH CLEARLY SHOWS THAT ONKAR SINGH IS A BRITISH CITIZEN. I HAVE OBTAINED THE COPY OF THE AADHAAR CARD OF ONKAR SINGH THROUGH THE PROCESS OF RTI WHERE AGAIN IT IS MENTIONED THAT ONKAR SINGH IS A RESIDENT OF INDIA. AS A MATTER OF FACT AND COPY OF PASSPORT OF ONKAR SINGH SHOWS THAT HE IS A BRITISH CITIZEN.

      THE ACCUSED HAVE MISLEAD THE COURT AND INTENTIONALLY MISREPRESENTED TO THE COURT THAT ONKAR SINGH IS A RESIDENT OF INDIA. HE BEAR FAKE AADHAAR CARD & COPY OF PASSPORT SUBMITTED BY ACCUSED THEMSELVES PROVES THIS FACT.

Examiner

05 DEC 2024

BY ACCUSED NUMBER 02 TO 04 AND OTHERS AND ALL OF THEM ARE CONCLUDED AS ON DATE , EVERY CONCLUSION REPORT HAS COME IN FAVOUR OF THE COMPLAINANT SATBIR SINGH.

F. THAT THE ACCUSED HAVE MISREPRESENTED BEFORE THE COURT AND GIVEN MISLEADING INFORMATION TO THE COURT IN THEIR APPLICATION IN QUESTION FOR WHICH THE PRESENT APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 340 CRPC IS BEING FILED THAT CONCLUSION REPORTS OF APPROXIMATELY 10 COMPLAINTS HAVE COME IN FAVOUR OF COMPLAINANT AND THESE WERE MADE BY DIFFERENT GAZETTED OFFICERS AND NOT ONE. IT IS ALSO HIGHLIGHTED THAT THE ACCUSED HAVE INTENTIONALLY SEVERALLY AND REPEATEDLY USED DEFAMATORY AND UNPARLIAMENTARY LANGUAGE FOR THE COMPLAINANT IN THEIR VARIOUS COMPLAINTS AND STATEMENTS. COMPLAINANT RESERVE HIS RIGHT TO INITIATE CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PROCEEDING AGAINST THE ACCUSED FOR THE SAME. HENCE ALL THESE DOCUMENTS WHICH ARE VERY MUCH RELEVANT TO THE PRESENT ISSUE ARE CONCEALED BY THE ACCUSED AND MISREPRESENTATION IS DONE BY THE ACCUSED BEFORE THIS HON'BLE COURT.

G. THAT IT IS VERY IMPORTANT AND FUNDAMENTAL POINT TO HIGHLIGHT HERE THAT ACCUSED HAVE GIVEN SELF MADE & FORGED DOCUMENTS IN THEIR APPLICATION AGAINST THE COMPLAINANT . GIVING FALSE , FORGED AND SELF MADE DOCUMENTS TO THE HON'BLE COURT IS AN OFFENCE. IT IS INTERESTING TO NOTE HERE THAT THESE FORGED DOCUMENTS ARE SIMPLY A COMPUTER PRINT OUTS BEARING NO SIGNATURES OF ANY COMPETENT AUTHORITY & DO NOT BEAR ANY TYPE OF ATTESTATION.

H. THAT THE ACCUSED HAVE GIVEN SELF MADE & SIMPLY TYPED FALSE AND FORGED DOCUMENT WHICH IS SHOWN BY THE ACCUSED TO BE A WHATSAPP CHAT. THERE WAS NO WHATSAPP CHAT BETWEEN BOTH PARTIES LIKE THIS.

I. THAT ANOTHER OFFENCE OF MISREPRESENTATION IS DONE BY THE ACCUSED BY PUTTING FALSE SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT SATBIR SINGH ON A FEW DOCUMENTS. THESE ARE NOT THE REAL SIGNATURES OF SATBIR SINGH & THE COURT MAY COMPARE BOTH. SIGNATURES IN ORIGINAL ONE & THE DUPLICATE AND FAKE SIGNATURE PUT BY THE ACCUSED ON THE FORGED DOCUMENT.

SO IT IS ALSO REQUESTED THAT THE COURT MAY PLEASE ORDER TO THE ALL ACCUSED ALONG WITH TRUSTEES OF BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST SAILA KHURD HOSHIARPUR TO FILE AN AFFIDAVIT STATING THAT ALL THE DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED BY THEM ARE THE ORIGINAL ONE.

J. THAT IT IS A FUNDAMENTAL ANALYTICAL EVIDENCE IN ITSELF THAT ACCUSED HAVE GOT FAILED TO PRESENT ANY COMPETENT DOCUMENT

ATTESTED
Examiner
05 DEC 2024

WHICH IS EITHER ATTESTED BY SOME COMPETENT AUTHORITY OR BEARING ORIGINAL SIGNATURES OF THE COMPLAINANT SATBIR SINGH. HAVING A VIEW WITH AN ANALYTICAL EYE WILL PROVE THAT MISREPRESENTATION IS DONE BY THE ACCUSED NOT ONLY ONCE BUT REPEATEDLY , SEVERALLY AND INTENTIONALLY. THAT IS WHY THE ACCUSED HAVE GOT FAILED TO PRESENT EVEN A SINGLE COMPETENT DOCUMENT. ALL DOCUMENTS PRESENTED BY THE ACCUSED ARE SIMPLY A TYPED PRINTOUTS BEARING NO SIGNATURES OR ATTESTATION & THE FORGED SELF MADE DOCUMENTS ARE PRESENTED BY THE ACCUSED BEFORE THIS HON'BLE COURT.

K. THAT THE ACCUSED HAVE GIVEN FALSE AND FORGED BILLS AND LETTER HEADS OF BOGUS FIRMS IN THE COURT BY SAYING THAT THEY WERE GIVEN BY THE COMPLAINANT TO THE SAID TRUST. IT IS BASE LESS AND FALSE SENTENCE WRITTEN BY THE ACCUSED AND ACCUSED HAVE DONE AN OFFENCE OF PREPARING FALSE AND FORGED DOCUMENT IN SHAPE OF LETTER HEADS OF BOGUS FIRMS AND BILLS OF BOGUS FIRMS.

L. THAT THE ACCUSED HAVE DONE MISREPRESENTATION BEFORE THIS HON'BLE COURT BY SAYING THAT ANY KIND OF LAND DEAL HAPPENED BETWEEN BOTH PARTIES. IT IS FALSE & MISLEADING STATEMENT GIVEN BY THE ACCUSED BEFORE THIS HON'BLE COURT THE ACCUSED DID NOT STOPPED JUST HERE BUT THEY ARRANGED SELF MADE FORGED DOCUMENTS IN FAVOUR OF THEIR STATEMENTS. HOWEVER THE FALSE AND FORGED DOCUMENTS PRESENTED BY THE ACCUSED DO NOT BEAR ANY ATTESTATION OR SIGNATURE OF SOME COMPETENT AUTHORITY BUT A ONE OF THEM BEARS FAKE SIGNATURES OF THE COMPLAINANT AND THE COURT CAN COMPARE THE SAME WITH ORIGINAL ONE.

M. THAT THE ACCUSED HAVE CONCEALED ALL THE DOCUMENTS IN SHAPE OF CONCLUSION REPORTS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS PERTAINING TO APPROXIMATELY 10 COMPLAINTS MOVED BY THEM BEFORE POLICE AUTHORITIES AGAINST THE COMPLAINANT , NOW THE QUESTION ARISES THAT WHY ALL THESE DOCUMENTS ARE CONCEALED BY THE ACCUSED FOR THEIR OWN COMPLAINT. ALL THE ENQUIRY REPORTS HAVE COME IN FAVOUR OF SATBIR SINGH.

N. THAT IT IS MORE CLEARLY STATED THAT ONE COMPLAINT WAS MOVED TO NRI WING PUNJAB POLICE AGAINST THE COMPLAINANT BY ONKAR SINGH AND OTHERS & ONE COMPLAINT WAS MOVED BY COMPLAINANT SATBIR SINGH BEFORE ECONOMIC OFFENCE WING HOSHIARPUR POLICE AGAINST ONKAR SINGH AND OTHER TRUSTEES OF THE SAID HOSPITAL , IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THESE TWO CONCLUSION REPORTS WERE MADE BY THE GAZZETED OFFICERS OF THE POLICE OF TWO DIFFERENT DISTRICT OF HOSHIARPUR AND JALANDHAR. BOTH ENQUIRY REPORTS HAVE COME IN FAVOUR OF THE COMPLAINANT SATBIR SINGH

ATTESTED

Examiner

05 DEC 2024

THE COMPLAINT BEFORE NRI WING BEARS ENDORSEMENT OF ADGP OFFICE & REPORT OF ECONOMIC OFFENCE WING HOSHIARPUR BEARS ENDORSEMENT OF OFFICE OF DGP PUNJAB POLICE.

O. THAT THE ACCUSED HAVE MISREPRESENTED IN THEIR APPLICATION BY INCLUDING NRI WING HOSHIARPUR IN THE PROCESS BUT THE FACT IS THAT THE ENQUIRY MOVED OUT OF HOSHIARPUR TO DSP NRI WING JALANDHAR ON THE REQUEST OF ACCUSED ALONG WITH NO OBJECTION OF THE COMPLAINANT.

P. THAT THE ACCUSED HAVE WRITTEN THAT ONKAR SINGH IS A RESIDENT OF SAILA KHURD HOSHIARPUR INDIA BUT ALONG WITH IT THEY THEMSELVES HAVE SUBMITTED THE COPY OF THE PASSPORT OF THE ONKAR SINGH WHICH STATES THAT HE IS NOT EVEN BORN IN INDIA BUT BORN IN NAIROBI & PRESENTLY A BRITISH CITIZEN.

6. THAT IT IS HIGHLIGHTED HERE THAT BOTH REPORTS THE ONE MADE BY NRI WING JALANDHAR POLICE BEARING A UID NUMBER 2193618 DATED 18-11-2021 & ANOTHER ONE MADE BY ECONOMIC OFFENCE WING HOSHIARPUR POLICE ON THE COMPLAINT OF SATBIR SINGH COMPLAINANT STATE THAT SATBIR SINGH HAS TO TAKE HIS MONEY FROM ONKAR SINGH & OTHER TRUSTEES OF BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE HOSPITAL VPO DADYAL , SAILA KHURD TEHSIL GARHSHANKER DIST HOSHIARPUR. HENCE SATBIR SINGH/COMPLAINANT HERE HAS LOST HIS HARD EARNED MONEY HENCE FINANCIAL FRAUD , CHEATING WITH DISHONEST INTENTION FROM BEGINNING AND CRIMINAL BREACH OF TRUST IS DONE AGAINST SATBIR SINGH BY ONKAR SINGH & OTHER TRUSTEES OF BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE HOSPITAL / TRUST VPO DADYAL SAILA KHURD , TEHSIL GARHSHANKER , DIST HOSHIARPUR-PUNJAB. SATBIR SINGH HAS LOST HIS HARD EARNED MONEY AMOUNTING MORE THAN RS 63 LAKHS. WHICH IS TO BE PAID BY ONE OF THE ACCUSED ONKAR SINGH AND OTHER TRUSTEES OF THE SAID TRUST. THE COMPLAINANT RESERVES HIS RIGHT TO INITIATE ALL TYPE OF SEPARATE LEGAL PROCEEDING TO RECOVER THAT AMOUNT.

7. THAT AS ON DATE NO FIR IS PENDING AGAINST THE COMPLAINANT , THE PRACTICING LICENCE OF ADVOCATE DEEPINDER SINGH IS WELL IN FORCE , THERE ARE NO KIND OF PROCEEDING OF CHEQUE BOUNCING ARE PENDING AGAINST THE COMPLAINANT OR ANY OF HIS FAMILY MEMBER. IN FACT THE COMPLAINANT IS A RESPONSIBLE CITIZEN WITH NO LEGAL LIABILITIES & NO LEGAL PROCEEDING OF ANY KIND ARE PENDING AGAINST SATBIR SINGH/COMPLAINANT HERE. RATHER SATBIR SINGH COMPLAINANT IS ALSO A PRACTICING ADVOCATE AS ON DATE.

8. THAT IT IS ANALYTICALLY HIGHLIGHTED HERE THAT ORDERS OF STATE BAR COUNCIL OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA CHANDIGARH ARE NOT AVAILABLE IN PUBLIC DOMAIN , IT IS GIVEN ONLY TO THE CONCERNED PARTIES , MOREOVER ONE HAS TO WRITE AN APPLICATION TO GET ALLOWED TO OBTAIN CERTIFIED

ATTESTED
Examiner
05 DEC 2024

COPY OF THE ANY DOCUMENT PERTAINING TO PROCEEDING OF STATE BAR COUNCIL OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

NOW THE FOLLOWING QUESTION ARISE :-

a. HOW DID THE ACCUSED ARRANGED TO OBTAIN DOCUMENT PERTAINING TO THE PROCEEDING OF THE STATE BAR COUNCIL WHICH IS NOT RELATED TO THEM AND THE OLD ONE AND ARE NOT THE LATEST OUTCOME. IT PROVES THAT THE ACCUSED ARE HAVING A MANIPULATING BEHAVIOUR AND HABITUAL OF MISLEADING ATTITUDE.

9. THAT THE FOLLOWING POINTS ARE TO BE NOTED TO EXPLAIN THE PRESENT SITUATION OF THE BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST AND TRUSTEES AS ONKAR SINGH & RAMINDER SAGOO ARE ONE OF THE TRUSTEES & DEEPAK CHAUDHARY IS A SO CALLED ILLEGAL POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER :-

A. LABOUR DEPARTMENT PUNJAB MOVED THREE CASES AGAINST THE SAID TRUST BEFORE HONBLE CJM COURT HOSHIARPUR WHERE IN THE ACCUSED HAVE BEEN IMPOSED FINE & THE COPY OF THE SAID ORDERS IS ATTACHED HERE WITH FOR YOUR READY REFERENCE.

B. EMPLOYEE PROVIDENT FUND ORGANIZATION (EPFO) HAS IMPOSED A FINE OF APPROX. RS 8 LAKHS AGAINST THE SAID TRUST & MORE THAN HALF OF THE FINE IS PAID ALSO BY THE SAID TRUST.
WHY DID THEY PAID FINE IF THEY WERE CORRECT AT THEIR PLACE.

C. EPFO HAS ISSUED ONE MORE SEPARATE SHOW CAUSE NOTICE TO THE SAID TRUST.

D. TEHSILDAR GARHSHANKER DIST-HOSHIARPUR HAS ISSUED THE NOTICE TO THE SAID TRUST TO PROVIDE THEM AN OPPORTUNITY OF HEARING AS THEY HAVE DONE FORGERY & MISREPRESENTATION AT THE TIME OF REGISTRATION OF THE SAID TRUST. THE COMPETENT DOCUMENT IS ATTACHED HERE WITH FOR YOUR READY REFERENCE.

E. POLLUTION DEPARTMENT HAS ISSUED THE SHOW CAUSE NOTICE TO THE SAID TRSUT / HOSPITAL. COMPETENT DOCUMENT IS ATTACHED HERE WITH FOR YOUR READY REFERENCE.

F. DIST HEALTH OFFICER HOSHIARPUR HAS CLEARLY MENTIONED IN HIS REPORT THAT HOSPITAL DO NOT BEARS FSSAI LICENCE , WHICH IS COMPULSORY TO RUN PHARMACY SHOP INSIDE HOSPITAL. COMPETENT DOCUMENT IS ATTACHED HERE WITH FOR YOUR READY REFERENCE.

G. SENIOR MEDICAL OFFICER POSSI DIST HOSHIARPUR HAS CLEARLY MENTIONED IN HIS REPORT THAT THE PHARMACY OF THE SAID HOSPITAL IS RUNNING WITHOUT PHARMACIST / DRUG LICENCE AT THE TIME OF

ATTESTED
Examiner
05 DEC 2024

CHECKING. COMPETENT DOCUMENT IS ATTACHED HERE WITH FOR YOUR READY REFERENCE.

H. THE SAID HOSPITAL RECEIVES GRANTS FROM FOREIGN SOURCES BUT DO NOT BEARS FCRA REGISTRATION. HENCE PROCEEDINGS ARE PENDING BEFORE DSP GARHSHANKER DIST HOSHIARPUR.

I. VARIOUS OTHER COMPLAINTS FOR MULTIPLE OFFENCES DONE BY THE ACCUSED ARE PENDING WITH DIFFERENT POLICE OFFICERS FOR INVESTIGATIONS.

SEPARATE LEGAL PROCEEDING SHALL BE INITIATED TO ENSURE COMPLETE ACTION AGAINST THE ACCUSED.

10. THAT IT IS MADE CLEAR THAT WHAT IS THERE IN THE MIND OF ACCUSED, AND WHY THE SAID ADVOCATE AND DEEPAK CHAUDHARY ARE ALWAYS OVER ENTHUSIASTIC TO GO AGAINST THE COMPLAINANT WITH ANY TYPE OF MISREPRESENTATIONS AND WHY DO THE ACCUSED ARE OPENLY MAKING FUN OF LAW. SO IT IS EXPLAINED THAT

" THE COMPLAINANT SATBIR SINGH HAD DONE SOME BUILDING WORK INSIDE THE BHAGAT HARI SINGH CHARITABLE TRUST / HOSPITAL & SUPPLY OF MEDICINE WAS DONE , THE SAID TRUST AND TRUSTEES WHICH INCLUDE ONKAR SINGH AND RAMINDER SAGOO ACCUSED NUMBER 02 & 03 ALONG WITH OTHERS HAVE TO DO THE PENDING PAYMENT TO SATBIR SINGH COMPLAINANT HERE. ONLY PARTIAL PAYMENT IS DONE BY THESE ACCUSED & OTHER TRUSTEES. THE OPPOSITE PARTY WANTS TO TAKE AWAY THE HARD EARNED MONEY OF SATBIR SINGH. THE OPPOSITE PARTY WANTS TO AVOID THE PAYMENT TO BE GIVEN TO SATBIR SINGH COMPLAINANT HERE. SO THEY ARE MAKING FALSE CLAIMS & MISREPRESENTING BEFORE THE COURT TO GET RID OF THEIR FINANCIAL LIABILITY".

"THE ACCUSED NUMBER 01 & 04 NAMELY SHAMSHER SINGH BHARDWAJ & DEEPAK CHAUDHARY ARE SIMPLY WORKING ON PAYMENT & COMMISSION BASIS WITH THE SAID TRUST THAT THEY WILL PRESSURIZE THE COMPLAINANT SATBIR SINGH AND WILL TAKE SOME PERCENTAGE AMOUNT FROM THE SAID TRUSTEES. OTHERWISE IT IS NOT A ROUTINE WORKING OF AN ADVOCATE. DEEPAK CHAUDHARY IS A PAID COMPLAINANT AND GIVES STATEMENTS ON PAID BASIS. THE OVERVIEW OF THE PRESENT CASE AND MAKING OF FORGED DOCUMENTS BY THEM PROVES THE SAME ON ANALYTICAL BASIS".

11. THAT THE ACCUSED SHAMSHER SINGH BHARDWAJ AND DEEPAK CHAUDHARY ARE MORE RESPONSIBLE THAN ANYBODY ELSE FOR THIS WHOLE MISREPRESENTATION & MAKING OF FALSE AND FORGED DOCUMENTS WHICH ARE PRESENTED BEFORE THIS HON'BLE COURT.
12. THAT IN VIEW OF FACT THAT PRINCIPLES OF NATURAL JUSTICE STATE THAT *AUDI ALTERAM PARTEM*. SO BEING A LAW LOVING PERSON THE COMPLAINANT

ATTESTED
Examiner
05 DEC 2024

HAD INVITED ACCUSED FOR AN AMICABLE SETTLEMENT AND TALKS ON TABLE BUT THE ACCUSED HAD ALWAYS REFUSED HAVING DISHONEST INTENTION.

13. THAT ALTHOUGH *IGNORANTIA JURIS NON EXCUSAT* IS FOLLOWED BY ALL OF US STILL THEN ACCUSED ARE SEVERALLY SUGGESTED BY THE COMPLAINANT THAT THEIR ACTS ARE UNLAWFUL AND THEY CAN BE SUED FOR THE SAME ALONG WITH CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS BUT THE ACCUSED HAVE ALWAYS IGNORED THE COMPLAINANT AND CONTINUED TO ACT UNLAWFULLY.

14. THAT ACCUSED HAD DISHONEST INTENTIONS "AB INITIO".

15. THAT IN VIEW OF THE FACT THAT "ACTUS NON FACIT REUM NISI MENS SIT REA". THE ACCUSED HAVE DONE ALL THIS INTENTIONALLY , REPEATEDLY AND SEVERALLY SO THEY HAVE DONE MULTIPLE OFFENCES.

16. THAT THE ACT OF THE ACCUSED INCLUDES BOTH "ACTUS REUS AND MENS REA".

17. THAT ACCUSED ARE "COMMUNIS HOSTIS OMNIUM". THE OFFENCE OF MISREPRESENTATION BEFORE THE COURT AND MISLEADING THE COURT HARMS THE WHOLE SOCIETY NOT JUST THE COMPLAINANT.

18. THAT ACTS OF THE ACCUSED INCLUDE BOTH ELEMENTS " DE FACTO AND DE JURE ".

19. THAT IT IS REQUESTED TO PLEASE CONSIDER THE FACT THAT "FRAUS EST CELARE FRAUDEM" AS THE ACCUSED HAVE DONE MULTIPLE ACTS OF CONCEALMENT FROM COURT.

20. THAT ACCUSED ARE ORDINARY "HOMO SAPIENS SAPIENS" JUST LIKE ANY BODY ELSE HENCE ALL ACTS EXPLAINED HERE DONE BY THE ACCUSED AMOUNT TO BE AN OFFENCE.

21. THAT THE "DENDRO CHRONOLOGY " IS REQUIRED TO BE IMPLEMENTED FOR COUNTING OF THE ADDITIONAL CLAIMS OF THE COMPLAINANT AND THE COMPLAINANT RESERVE HIS RIGHT TO INITIATE SEPARATE LEGAL PROCEEDING FOR THE SAME.

22. THAT COMPLAINANT HAS ALWAYS ACTED LIKE A "TRANQUILIZER" IN THE PROCESS AND BELIEVED IN "SYMBIOTIC" RELATIONSHIP BUT THE ACCUSED HAVE ALWAYS ACTED LIKE NEGATIVE THINKER. THE ACCUSED NUMBER 01 AND 04 ARE ACTING LIKE A "CATALYST" OR AN "ENZYME" TO "WORSEN" THE SITUATION FOR THEIR OWN BENEFIT.

23. THAT THE COMPLAINANT HAD NO OTHER EFFICACIOUS REMEDY EXCEPT TO SUBMIT THE PRESENT COMPLAINT & THE PRESENT COURT HAS THE JURISDICTION TO HEAR FOR THE SAME.

ATTESTED

Examiner

05 DEC 2024

(9) (10)

24. THAT THE CAUSE OF ACTION AROSE ON DATE 28-02-2023 WHEN THE COMPLAINANT WITH HIGH SURPRISING NOTE OBSERVED IN THE OFFICIAL WEBSITE OF ECOURTS THAT THE ACCUSED HAVE GIVEN FALSE APPLICATION AGAINST THE COMPLAINANT & THE ACCUSED THEMSELVES TOLD THE WHOLE STORY TO THE COMPLAINANT AND A LARGE NOISE LEVEL WAS CREATED IN THE SOCIETY FOR THIS FALSE APPLICATION OF THE ACCUSED.

25. THAT THE COMPLAINANT RESERVES HIS RIGHT TO INITIATE SEPARATE LEGAL PROCEEDINGS FOR HIS PENDING COMPLAINTS WITH VARIOUS COMPETENT AUTHORITIES TO ENSURE ACTION ON THEM , PROCEEDINGS FOR DEFAMATION & SEPARATE LEGAL PROCEEDINGS FOR ALL TYPE OF MULTIPLE OFFENCES DONE BY THE ACCUSED & LEGAL PROCEEDING TO RECOVER PENDING AMOUNT FROM THE ACCUSED AND OTHER TRUSTEES.

PRAYER : IT IS PRAYED BEFORE THIS HON'BLE COURT IN VIEW OF THE FACT THAT MULTIPLE TIMES MISREPRESENTATION IS DONE BY THE ACCUSED AND MISLEADING INFORMATION IS GIVEN BY THE ACCUSED TO THIS HONBLE COURT. THE ACCUSED HAVE CONCEALED IMPORTANT AND RELEVANT INFORMATION FROM THIS HONBLE COURT. THE ACCUSED HAVE ALSO GIVEN AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MISLEADING INFORMATION. IN VIEW OF THE OTHER OFFENCES OF THE ACCUSED EXPLAINED HEREIN ABOVE AND IN VIEW OF THE FACT THAT ACCUSED HAVE DONE ALL OFFENCES INTENTIONALLY , REPEATEDLY AND SEVERALLY.

FIR BE REGISTERED AGAINST ACCUSED NUMBER 01 TO 04 NAMELY SHAMSHER SINGH BHARDWAJ , ONKAR SINGH , RAMINDER SAGOO & DEEPAK CHAUDHARY UNDER RELEVANT SECTIONS OF THE IPC AND OTHER ACTS.
OR ANY OTHER STRICTEST ACTION AGAINST THE ACCUSED AS THE COURT MAY DEEM FIT.

DATE: 09-03-2023
HOSHIARPUR

SUBMITTED BY
SATBIR SINGH
(COMPLAINANT)

VERIFICATION: VERIFIED THAT THE CONTENTS OF ALL THE POINTS OF THE COMPLAINT FROM POINT NO. 1 TO 25 ARE TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF AND NOTHING HAS BEEN KEPT CONCEALED THEREIN. VERIFIED AT HOSHIARPUR.

DATE: 09-03-2023
HOSHIARPUR

SUBMITTED BY
SATBIR SINGH
(COMPLAINANT)

THROUGH COUNSEL DEEPINDER SINGH (ADVOCATE) 95011-88541

No. of Application 10352
Date of Application 25/11/24
Officer of Application Maninder Sach Jee
Date of Preparation 05/12/24
Copying Fee
Urgent Fee
No. of Page 10
Date of Copy Ready
Prepared by
Date of Delivery 5/12/24

Certified to be True Copy
Examiner
Authorised by Section 76 of
The Indian Evidence Act 1872
05 DEC 2024